



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,243	08/08/2000	Yukito Kawahara	S004-4049	2427	
7590 03/17/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Adams & Wilks			KIBLER, VIRGINIA M		
Attorneys and Counselors at Law 31st Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 Broadway			2623	1.	
New York, NY	10004		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004 / 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/634,243	KAWAHARA ET AL.				
6	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia M Kibler	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/634,243

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Summary of Applicant's Argument: The prior art of record fails to suggest the use of a flat light guiding plate. The optical plate of Fujieda does not satisfy the limitations of the independent claims, having parallel opposed main faces disposed over a front surface of the liquid crystal cell for transmitting light projected from the rear surface of the liquid crystal cell and deflecting light entering from the front surface toward a side end surface of the light guiding plate.

Examiner's Response: Johnson discloses a flat light guiding plate 1 on the front surface of the active matrix liquid crystal cell for transmitting the light projected from the rear surface of the active matrix liquid crystal cell and deflecting light entering from the front surface toward a side end surface of the light guiding plate (Figure 3). Johnson does not disclose a flat light guiding plate having parallel opposed main faces. Fujieda is not relied on for the limitations for transmitting light projected from the rear surface of the liquid crystal cell and deflecting light entering from the front surface toward a side end surface of the light guiding plate. Fujieda teaches that it is known to use a flat light guiding plate 13 having parallel opposed main faces in a fingerprint reading device (Col. 4, lines 39-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the flat light guiding plate disclosed by Johnson to include one with parallel opposed main faces as taught by Fujieda

Application/Control Number: 09/634,243

Art Unit: 2623

because the arrangement is advantageous in that the amount of light and color can be controlled

(Col. 6, lines 1-24).

Contact Information

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Virginia M Kibler whose telephone number is (703) 306-4072.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00 - 5:30 and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VK

3/14/04

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehidad Dastom

Page 3